

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
11

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
13
14 v. Plaintiff,
15 FERNANDO BELTRAN-BASTIDAS,
16 Defendant.

Crim. Case No. 07CR2591 WQH
Civil Case No. 08CV2119 WQH

ORDER

17 HAYES, Judge:

18 The matter before the Court is the motion for reduction of term of imprisonment under
19 Title 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (Doc. # 23) filed by the Defendant.

20 On February 26, 2008, this Court sentenced the Defendant to be imprisoned for a term
21 of 30 months for bringing in illegal aliens without presentation in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1324.

22 Defendant moves the Court under Title 18 U.S.C. § 3582 for a time served sentence
23 reduction on the grounds that 1) the United States Attorney General can offer up to a two level
24 reduction of the sentence if a Defendant accepts a final deportation order and 2) as an alien he
25 is deprived of benefits and/or privileges afforded to United States citizen inmates.

26 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) provides in relevant part that “[t]he court may not modify a term
27 of imprisonment once it has been imposed except that - (1) in any case ... (B) the court may
28 modify an imposed term of imprisonment to the extent otherwise expressly permitted by statute

1 or by Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.”

2 There are no grounds upon which to modify Defendant’s sentence pursuant to Rule 35.
3 This Court has no authority to order Defendant’s sentence reduced at this stage in the
4 proceedings. The Sentencing Reform Act gives the Bureau of Prisons the responsibility to
5 “designate the place of the prisoner’s imprisonment.” 18 U.S.C. § 3621(b). *See United States*
6 *v. Cubillos*, 91 F.3d 1342, 1344-45 (9th Cir. 1996). The Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
7 has rejected the assertion that an alien’s equal protection rights are violated when he cannot
8 be housed in a minimum security facility or a community correction center based upon his
9 deportation status. *See McClean v. Crabtree*, 173 F.3d 1176, 1185-86 (9th Cir. 1999). There
10 are no grounds upon which this Court would reduce Defendant’s sentence.

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for reduction of term of imprisonment
12 under Title 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (Doc. # 23) is DENIED.

13 DATED: December 5, 2008

14 
15 **WILLIAM Q. HAYES**
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28